

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,118	03/12/2004	Michael T. Willard	5362-000478 9092	
27572	7590 07/05/2005	EXAMINER		INER
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			PAPE, JOSEPH	
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3612	
			DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/800,118	WILLARD, MICHAEL T.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph D. Pape	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In paragraph 20, line 2, it is thought that reference numeral "68" should be changed to –62-- to correspond to Figure 2. In paragraph 33, the last line, "aesthetically" is misspelled.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 23 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 23, line 2 is awkwardly phrased. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly

claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 7, 9-13, and 19-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 7, the recitation of a four bar linkage is incomplete because only three links are set forth. The missing recitation is that of control link 108.

In claim 9, the second to last line, the exact meaning of the phrase "majority portions" is unclear.

In claims 10, 13, 21-22 and 25-26, "roof panels" has no clear antecedent basis.

On line 1, –at least three—should be added before "roof" to correct this issue.

In claims 11 and 19, the recitation of a four bar linkage is incomplete because only three links are set forth. The missing recitation is that associated with the brackets.

In claim 23, the recitation that the forwardmost roof panel is "coupled" to one of the rear panels by a four bar linkage is misleading and misdescriptive. It is part of a four bar linkage but it is not coupled to another panel by a four bar linkage.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 3612

6. Claims 1, 2, 5-8, 14-16 and 18, as understood, are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rothe et al.

Rothe et al. disclose the claimed invention including three panels 6, 7, 8 mounting brackets 2, pair of movable links 17 (re claims 5 and 16), front two panels pivotally connected at 25 (re claims 2 and 18), and actuator 33 which drives link 16.

Page 4

7. Claims 14 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Guillez et al.

Guillez et al. disclose the claimed invention including first panel 1, second panel 3 and third panel 2.

8. Claims 9 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Obendick.

Obendick discloses the claimed invention including bracket 16, panels 5 and 6 connected to the bracket and a movable link (unnumbered but located directly below numeral 30 in Figure 3).

Art Unit: 3612

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 5

9. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

10. Claims 9-13 and 19-26 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph D. Pape whose telephone number is 571-272-6664.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

′Joseph D. Pape

Art Unit: 3612

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612 Page 6

Jdp

June 23, 2005